

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,712	06/26/2003	Akihiko Suyama	393032038600	6937
David L. Fehrn	7590 01/30/2008		EXAM	INER
Morrison & Fo			PHAM,	VAN T
35th Floor 555 W. 5th Stre	eet	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90013			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/608,712	SUYAMA, AKIHIKO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	VAN T. PHAM	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - extensions of time may be available, in the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th      3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 2-9,11-18,20,21,23-52 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 2,3,5-8,11,12,14-17,20,23, 27and 3.7	awn from consideration.  0-52 is/are rejected.  objected to.  or election requirement.  her.  ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2627

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/07/2007 has been entered.

### **Response to Arguments**

2. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 2-3,11-12, 20, 23, 27 and 30-32, 39-41, 48-49 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (US 2004/0125730).

Regarding claim 2, Yamamoto discloses a method of forming a visual image having a specified shape on a surface of an optical disk by using an optical writing

process of irradiating a laser beam onto the surface of the optical disk to form pits, the optical disk having a program are along the surface of the optical disk for recording information and being capable of recording information in the program area by the optical writing process (see abstract), the method comprising the steps of:

acquiring image formation information associated to a visual image to be formed (see abstract, Fig. 1, element 17, [0021]);

forming the visual image in the program are of the optical disk based on the acquired image formation information by using the optical writing process (see Fig. 1, abstract, [0021], [0046], [0049]); and

recording the image formation information in the program area of the same optical disk by using the optical writing process (see abstract, Fig. 1, element 17, [0021], [0046], [0049], [0055], [0070], [0078]);

Regarding claim 3, discloses the method according to claim 2, further comprising the step of placing the optical disk in a recording end sate after the image formation information is recorded so that the disk is made unable to additionally record information anymore (inherently).

Regarding claims 11, 20 and 23, 31, 39, 40, 48, 49, 51 see rejection above of claim 2.

Regarding claims 12, 32, 41, see rejection above of claim 3.

Regarding claim 27, discloses the method of claim 2, wherein the recording step records the image formation information which represents a pattern of irradiating the

Art Unit: 2627

laser beam on the basis of a polar coordinates system defined on the surface of the optical disk (see Figs. 3-4, [0073]).

Regarding claim 30, see rejection above of claim 27.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-8, 14-17, 34-37, 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (US 2004/0125730) in view of Onodera et al. (US 2001/0040867).

Regarding claim 5, Yamamoto discloses the method according to claim 2, wherein the step of recording records the image formation information containing start address information specifying a position of the surface of the optical disk to start the optical writing process for forming the visual image, stop address information specifying another position to stop the optical writing process for forming the visual image, and pattern information specifying a pattern of irradiating the laser beam during the optical writing process for forming the visual image (inherently, also see [0045],

Art Unit: 2627

[0053]). However, to be more specific, Onodera discloses the step of recording records the image formation information containing start address information specifying a position of the surface of the optical disk to start the optical writing process for forming the visual image, stop address information specifying another position to stop the optical writing process for forming the visual image, and pattern information specifying a pattern of irradiating the laser beam during the optical writing process for forming the visual image (see Fig. 11).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide writing address in Yamamoto, as suggested by Onodera, the motivation being in order to record the image formation information containing start address information specifying a position of the surface of the optical disk (see Onodera).

Regarding claim 6, Yamamoto discloses the method according to claim 2, wherein the step of recording records the image formation information containing image data which represents the visual image and which is recorded in a format readily readable from the optical disk for reproduction of the visual image (see abstract).

Onodera discloses the image formation information containing image data which represents the visual image and which is recorded in a format readily readable from the optical disk for reproduction of the visual image on a display (see Fig. 3).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a display unit in Yamamoto, as suggested by Onodera,

Art Unit: 2627

the motivation being in order to read a message for prompting an instruction about whether pit art recording of this bit map data can be started (see Onodera col. 5).

Regarding claims 7-8, or 34-35, or 36-37, 43-46, see rejection above of claims 5-6.

Regarding claim 14, see rejection above of claim 5.

Regarding claims 16-17, see rejection above of claim 7-8, respectively.

Regarding claim 15, see rejection above of claim 6.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4, 9, 13, 18, 21 and 24-26, 28-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the cited references disclose or suggest all the limitations in parent claim including limitation placing the optical disk in a recording end state on the surface of the optical disk so that the optical disk is made unable to additionally. However, Onodera does not disclose the step of placing the optical disk in a recording end state while leaving a reserved area on the surface of the optical disk so that the optical disk is made unable to additionally record information except for the reserved area, wherein the step of recording records the image formation information in the reserved area after the optical disk is placed in the recording end state or placing the optical disk in a recording end state while an available area is left in the program area of the optical disk, so that the optical disk is made unable to additionally record information on the available area anymore, wherein the visual image is formed in the available area of the

Art Unit: 2627

optical disk by using the optical writing process, and the image formation information is recorded in the same available area of the program area by using the optical writing process.

None of the cited references disclose or suggest all the limitations in parent claim including limitation copying the image formation information recorded in the origin optical disk to the duplicate optical disk by using the optical writing process; and reproducing the visual image on the surface of the duplicate optical disk by using the optical writing process based on the copied image formation information.

#### **Claim Cited References**

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references relate to an optical disk and a reproduction method reproduction apparatus, and recording apparatus for the same and information reproducing method judging a multivalued level of a present call by referring to judged multi-valued levels of a preceding cell and a ensuing cell.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAN T. PHAM whose telephone number is 571-272-7590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00am 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

THANG V.TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER